The Lady Catherine Jones, Dame Frances Hewett, Peter Hawker, Esq; Thomas-William Burman, Fiennes Twisleton, Esq; Respondents. Nathan Izod, William Clark, William White, and the Lord Viscount Harcourt;

The CASE of the Respondents,

The Lady Catherine Jones, Dame Frances Hewett, Peter Hawker, Esq; and Thomas-William Burman.

HE Honourable Frances Ellise, Widow, deceased, one of the Daughters and Coheirs of James, Viscount Say and Seal, deceased, being seized in Fee of, and in one undivided Moiety of several Messinges, Lands, Tenements, and Hereditaments in Neather Norton, and Oversbury Norton, in the County of Glocester; duly made and executed her last Will and Testament in Writing, dated the 10th Day of December, 1685; and did thereby give and bequeath divers small Legacies to divers Persons in her Will named; after which she gave and devised the said Lands and Hereditaments, in the Words following. " Item, I give and devise all my Manors, Messuages, Lands, Tenements, " and Hereditaments whatsoever, with their and every of their Appurtenances in Neather Norton and " Oversbury Norton, or elsewhere, in the County of Glocester; and also all my Messuages, Lands, Tene-" nements, and Hereditaments in Possession, Reversion, Remainder, or otherwise, lying in Mortlack, or else-" where, in the County of Surry, to Thomas Erle of Churborough in the County of Dorfet, Esq; and to " Charles Morgan of the Inner Temple, London, Esq; and to their Heirs and Assigns for ever, upon Trust " and Confidence. Nevertheless, and to the Intent and Purpose, but not upon Condition, that they the faid Thomas Erle, and Charles Morgan, and the Survivor of them, and the Heirs of such Survivor, shall, and will, in the first Place, out of the Rents, Issues, and Profits of the said Manors, Messuages, Lands, and Premises in Neather Norton and Oversbury Norton aforesaid, pay, and satisfy the several Legacies,

Devises, and Bequests, hereafter mentioned to be by me given, devised, or bequeathed." THESE Words are followed by a Devise of some Annuities for Lives, and some pecuniary Legacies; after which are the following Words (viz.) "And I do hereby authorife and appoint, that they the faid Thomas Erle, and Charles Morgan, and their Heirs, shall, and will, in the first Place, reimburse, and pay him, and themselves, all such necessary Charges, and Disbursements, as they shall from Time to Time be put unto, concerning all, or any of the Matters herein contained. And from, and after their Reimbursement and Payment of the said several Annuities, or Rent Charges, Legacies, and Interest aforesaid, to be paid out of Neather Norton and Oversbury Norton aforesaid; and as the same shall severally and respectively be paid, end, and determine: That then they the said Thomas Erle, and Charles Morgan, and their Heirs, shall and will pay, or cause to be paid, all the rest, and residue of the Rents, Issues, and Profits of the said Manors, Messuages, Lands, and Premises to the proper Hand of the said Cecill Fiennes, or to such Person and Persons as she shall, by any Writing under her Hand and Seal, direct and appoint, for and during the Term of her natural Life; and from and after her Decease, they the said Thomas Erle, and Charles Morgan, and their Heirs, shall stand, and be seiz'd of, and in all and every the said Manors, Messuages, Lands, and Premises, to the Use and Behoof of the Heirs of the Body of my said Daughter Gecill Fiennes, severally and successively, as they shall happen to be in Priority of Birth, and Seniority of Age; and to the Heirs of their several and respective Bodies in Tail general, subject nevertheless to the Payment of the laid Annuities, Legacies, and Interest charged, and hereby before by me given out of Neather Norton and Oversbury Norton aforesaid: And for Desault of such Issue, then upon this surther Trust and Confidence. And I do hereby declare my Mind and Will to be, That they the said Thomas Erle and Charles Morgan, and their Heirs, shall stand, and be seiz'd of, and in the said Manors, Messuages, Lands, and Premises in Neather Norton and Oversbury Norton aforesaid; to this further Use, Intent, and Purpose, That from, and after the Decease of my said Daughter Cecill Fiennes, without Issue of her Body aforesaid, that over, and besides the Payment of the said former Legacies, and Charges by me hereby given, and devised out of Neather Norton and Oversbury Norton aforesaid, they the said Thomas Erle and Charles Morgan, and their Heirs, shall, and will, out of the Rents, Issues, and Profits of the said Manors, Messuages, Lands, and Premises, pay and satisfy the several Gists, Legacies, and Bequests hereafter mention'd; (that is to fay) I give and devise to the faid Mr. Francis Twisleton, my Godson, and Son to George Twisleton of Yorksbire, the Sum of Twenty Pounds per Annum, for and during the Term of his natural Life; and after his Decease, I give and devise the said Sum of Twenty Pounds per Ann. aforesaid, to Mr. Fiennes Twisleton, for and during the Term of his natural Life. Item, I give and defile the Sum of Twenty Pounds per Annum, for ever, to be issuing and going out of my said Manors, Mesuages, Lands, and Premiles, in Neather Norton and Oversbury Norton aforesaid, to be equally distribued yearly, and every Year for ever, to, and amongst four poor Widows of the said Parish of Neather Vorton and Oversbury Norton, at the Discretion and Nomination of my said Trustees, their Heirs and ffigns. And from, and after, the Decease of my said Daughter Cecill Fiennes, without Issue, as aforeaid, I give and devise the said Manors, Messuages, Lands, and Premises, with their, and every of their pourtenances, to the faid Lady Catherine Jones, Daughter to the Right Honourable the Earl of Ralagb, in the Kingdom of Ireland; Mrs. Frances Bettenson, of Seadbury, in the County of Kent, my id God-daughter; the said Francis Twisleton, of the Parish of Saint James, in the County of Middlesex, ntleman; and to the said Elizabeth Hughes, of Jewes, in the County of Somerset, severally, and re-

Lawrence, Viscount Say and Seal,

The Lady Catherine Jones, Dame Frances Hewett, Peter Hawker, Esq; Thomas-William Burman, Fiennes Twisleton, Esq; Respondents. Nathan Izod, William Clark, William White, and the Lord Viscount Harcourt;

The CASE of the Respondents,

The Lady Catherine Jones, Dame Frances Hewett, Peter Hawker, 199; and Thomas-William Burman.

HE Honourable Frances Ellise, Widow, deceased, one of the Daughters and Coheirs of James, Viscount Say and Seal, deceased, being seized in Fee of, and in one undivided Moiety of several Mefluages, Lands, Tenements, and Hereditaments in Neather Norton, and Oversbury Norton, in the County of Glocester; duly made and executed her last Will and Testament in Writing, dated the 10th Day of December, 1685; and did thereby give and bequeath divers small Legacies to divers Persons in her Will named; after which she gave and devised the said Lands and Hereditaments, in the Words following. " Item, I give and devise all my Manors, Messuages, Lands, Tenements, " and Hereditaments whatsoever, with their and every of their Appurtenances in Neather Norton and " Oversbury Norton, or elsewhere, in the County of Glocester; and also all my Menuages, Lands, Tene-" nements, and Hereditaments in Pottession, Reversion, Remainder, or otherwise, lying in Mortiack, or elfe-" where, in the County of Surry, to Thomas Erle of Charborough in the County of Dorfet, Elq; and to " Charles Morgan of the Inner Temple, London, Esq; and to their Heirs and Assigns for ever, upon Trust " and Confidence. Nevertheless, and to the Intent and Purpose, but not upon Condition, that they the " faid Thomas Erle, and Charles Morgan, and the Survivor of them, and the Heirs of Survivor, shall, " and will, in the first Place, out of the Rents, Islues, and Profits of the said Manors, Messuages, Lands, " and Premises in Neather Norton and Oversbury Norton aforesaid, pay, and satisfy the several Legacies,

" Devises, and Bequests, hereafter mentioned to be by me given, devised, or bequeathed."

THESE Words are followed by a Devile of some Annuities for Lives, and some pecuniary Legacies; after which are the following Words (viz.) "And I do hereby authorife and appoint, that they the faid "Thomas Erle, and Charles Morgan, and their Heirs, shall, and will, in the first Place, reimburse, and " pay him, and themselves, all such necessary Charges, and Disbursements, as they shall from Time to "Time be put unto, concerning all, or any of the Matters herein contained. And from, and after their " Reimbursement and Payment of the said several Annuities, or Rent Charges, Legacies, and Interest a-" foresaid, to be paid out of Neather Norton and Oversbury Norton aforesaid; and as the same shall te-" verally and respectively be paid, end, and determine: That then they the said Thomas Erle, and "Charles Morgan, and their Heirs, shall and will pay, or cause to be paid, all the rest, and residue of the Rents, Issues, and Prosits of the said Manors, Messuages, Lands, and Premises to the " proper Hand of the faid Cecill Fiennes, or to fuch Person and Persons as she shall, by any "Writing under her Hand and Seal, direct and appoint, for and during the Term of her natural "Life; and from and after her Decease, they the said Thomas Erle, and Charles Morgan, and their Heirs, shall stand, and be seiz'd of, and in all and every the said Manors, Messuages, Lands, and Premises, to the Use and Behoof of the Heirs of the Body of my said Daughter Cecill Fiennes, severally and fucceffively, as they shall happen to be in Priority of Birth, and Seniority of Age; and to the Heirs of their several and respective Bodies in Tail general, subject nevertheless to the Payment of the laid Annuities, Legacies, and Interest charged, and hereby before by me given out of Neather Norton and Oversbury Norton aforesaid: And for Default of such Issue, then upon this further Trust and Confidence. And I do hereby declare my Mind and Will to be, That they the faid Thomas Erle and Charles Morgan, and their Heirs, shall stand, and be seiz'd of, and in the said Manors, Messuages, Lands, and Premises in Neather Norton and Oversbury Norton aforesaid; to this further Use, Intent, and Purpose, That from, and after the Decease of my said Daughter Cecill Fiennes, without Issue of her Body aforefaid, that over, and besides the Payment of the said former Legacies, and Charges by me hereby given, and devised out of Neather Norton and Oversbury Norton aforesaid, they the said Thomas Erle and Charles Morgan, and their Heirs, shall, and will, out of the Rents, Issues, and Profits of the said Manors, Meffuages, Lands, and Premiles, pay and fatisfy the several Gifts, Legacies, and Bequests hereafter mention'd; (that is to fay) I give and devise to the said Mr. Francis Twisleton, my Godson, and Son to George Twisleton of Yorksbire, the Sum of Twenty Pounds per Annum, for and during the Term of his natural Life; and after his Decease, I give and devise the said Sum of Twenty Pounds per Ann. aforesaid, to Mr. Fiennes Twisleton, for and during the Term of his natural Life. Item, I give and devise the Sum of Twenty Pounds per Annum, for ever, to be issuing and going out of my said Manors, Messuages, Lands, and Premites, in Neather Norton and Oversbury Norton aforesaid, to be equally distributed yearly, and every Year for ever, to, and amongst four poor Widows of the said Parish of Neather Norton and Oversbury Norton, at the Discretion and Nomination of my said Trustees, their Heirs and Affigns. And from, and after, the Decease of my said Daughter Cecill Fiennes, without Issue, as aforelaid, I give and devise the said Manors, Messuages, Lands, and Premises, with their, and every of their Appurtenances, to the said Lady Catherine Jones, Daughter to the Right Honourable the Farl of Raelagh, in the Kingdom of Ireland; Mrs. Frances Bettenson, of Scadbury, in the County of Kent, my aid God-daughter; the said Francis Twisleton, of the Parish of Saint James, in the County of Middlesex, Gentleman; and to the said Elizabeth Hughes, of Jewes, in the County of Somerset, severally, and repectively, and to their several and respective Heirs of their respective Bodies, lawfully begotten, or to

The Will

" be begotten, in Tail General, Share and Share alike, subject nevertheless to the several Annuities, Lega-" cies, and other Payments aforesaid, herein, or thereby, by me charged, given, or devised in, upon, or

" out of Neather Norton and Oversbury Norton aforesaid."

1686. Fran-THAT in February, 1686, Frances Ellise died, without making any farther Disposition of the said Estate. ces Ellife THAT the said Francis Twisleton, one of the sour Devisees, died in 1698, an Insant, without any Issue, dyed. 1698. Fran- whereby the Reversion in Fee Simple of one fourth Part of the said undivided Moiety, expectant on the cis Twisle- Death of the said Gecill Fiennes, without Iffue of her Body, came to, and was vested in, the said Gecill Fiennes, as Daughter, and Heir at Law to the faid Frances Ellise.

THE 23d July, 1715, the said Cecill Fiennes died without Issue, whereby three fourth Parts of the said 1715. Cecill undivided Moiety of the faid Premises, vested in the Respondents the Lady Catherine Jones, the said Frances Frennes dy-Bettenson, then the Wife of Thomas Hewett, Esq; afterwards Sir Thomas Hewett, Knight, deceased, and now the Respondent the Lady Hewett, the said Elizabeth Hughes, then the Wife of Thomas Hawker, deceased, and Mother of the Respondent Peter Hawker; and the remaining fourth Part descended to Cecill Mignon, the Neece, and Heir at Law of the faid Frances Ellife, and also Cousin German, and Heir at Law to the laid

Cecill Fiennes.

Trinity THE Respondents the Lady Catherine Jones, Thomas Hewett, Esq; (afterwards Sir Thomas Hewett) and Term, 1716 Frances his Wife, now the Respondent the Lady Hewett, Thomas Hawker, and Elizabeth his Wife, the Father and Mother of the Respondent Peter Hawker, levied a Fine, and suffered a common Recovery of three fourth Parts of the faid Premises; and by an Indenture, dated the 27th Day of June, 1716, and made between the faid Thomas Erle (at that Time the furviving Truffee) and the faid Lady Catherine Jones, Thomas Hewett, and Frances his Wife, Thomas Hawker and Elizabeth his Wife, of the first Part, Thomas Wyberd of the second Part, and Anthony Cracherode of the third Part; the Uses of the said Fine and Recovery, were declared to be, as to one third Part of the faid three fourth Parts of the faid Moiety, of the said Premises, to the Use of the said Lady Catherine Jones, and her Heirs; one other third Part to the Use of the said Thomas Hewett, and Frances his Wife, and their Heirs; and the other third Part to

the Use of the said Thomas Hawker and Elizabeth his Wise, and their Heirs.

THAT the Appellant being intituled to the other Moiety of the said Messuages, Lands and Premises, and refusing to join in a Division, or Partition of all the said Premises into equal Moieties, and the Tenants of the faid Premises refusing to pay a Moiety of their Rents of the faid Premises to the Respondent, the Lady Catherine Jones, the said Sir Thomas Hewett, and the Respondent Dame Frances his Wise, Thomas Hawker, who had furvived the faid Elizabeth Hawker his Wife, and Cecill Mignon, who were intitled to one Moiety of the faid Premises; they the said Respondents, the Lady Catherine Jones, the said Sir Thomas Hewett, and Dame Frances his Wife, the faid Thomas Hawker, and Cecill Mignon, exhibited their 10th March Bill in the High Court of Chancery against the Appellant, and the said Nathan Izod, William Clark, and

William White, the Tenants of the faid Premises, to have a Partition of the said Premises, as against the Appellant, and to compel the faid Tenants of the faid Premises, to pay a Moiety of their Rents of

the faid Premises, to the Plaintiss, in such Bill, from the Death of the said Cecill Fiennes.

THAT the faid Thomas Hawker, and Cecill Mignon dying, the faid Respondents the Lady Catherine Jones, Sir Thomas Hewett, and Dame Frances his Wife, and Peter Hawker, the Son and Heir, and Administrator of the faid Thomas Hawker, in whom three fourth Parts of a Moiety of the faid Premises became vested, exhibited their Bill of Revivor against the Appellant, and the said Tenants of the said Premises; and afterwards amended their faid Bill of Revivor, and made the Respondent Thomas-William Burman, the Devisce and Executor of the said Cecill Mignon, and the Respondent Fiennes Twisleton, her Son and Heir, who claimed the other fourth Part of a Moiety of the faid Premises, under the said Cecill Mignon, Parties to fuch Bill of Revivor, and the faid Suit afterwards revived.

THAT the Appellant and the Tenants of the said Premises, and the said Respondents Thomas-William Burman, and Fiennes Twisleton, answer'd the said Bills; and the said Burman, by his Answer, infifted that he was the Devisee, and Executor of the Last Will and Testament of the said Cecill Mignon, and as such was well intitled to one fourth Part of the Moiety in question, and the Rents, from Cecill Fiennes's Death.

THAT the Appellant, by his Answer (inter alia) insisted, that the said three sourth Parts of the said Moiety of the faid Premises, did not upon the Death of the said Cecill Fiennes, without Issue, vest in the Respondents, the Lady Catherine Jones, Dame Frances Hewett, and Elizabeth the late Wife of the said Thomas Hawker; but that the whole Moiety of the said Premises upon the Death of the said Cecill Fiennes came in Fee Simple to the faid Cecill Mignon, as Heir to the faid Cecill Fiennes; and likewise infifted, that the faid Cecill Fiennes was, by the Limitations in her faid Mother's Will, Tenant in Tail of the faid Moiety, or of the Trust thereof, or had such Estate, or Interest therein, that by a Fine and Common Recovery, she could dock or bar the same, and all the Remainders thereupon limited by the Will of her said Mother, the said Francis Ellis; and further insisted, that the said Cecill Fiennes being so feized of a Moiety of the faid Premises, she, the said Cecill Fiennes, together with her Husband William Fiennes, in July 1693, by Deed, Fine and Recovery, duly levyed, and suffered, conveyed the said Moiety of the faid Premises to the Lord Viscount Harcourt, and his Heirs, to and for such Uses as she should, by any Writing under her Hand and Seal, appoint; and further fet forth, that in 1695, the faid William Fiennes purchased the other undivided Moiety of the Premises to him and his Heirs, and dying without Issue in 1699 his Moiety of the said Premises descended upon the Appellant, as his Brother and Heir.

THAT by his faid Answer, his Lordship further insisted, that upon the Death of the said William Fiennes, several Disputes and Differences arising betwixt the said Cecill Fiennes his Widow, and his Lordthip, touching the real and personal Estate of the said William Fiennes for compromising thereof, Articles of Agreement were made and entered into between the said Cecill Fiennes, and his Lordship, bearing 22d March, Date the 22d Day of March 1699; whereby she agreed (inter alia) to join in a Sale of the whole Estate at Norton, and one Moiety of the Money arifing thereby was to be paid to him; and as to the other Moiety, the said Cecill Fiennes was to have thereout Five hundred Pounds, and the Interest of the Residue during her Life, with Power to dispose of One Thousand Pounds, the Residue to come to the Appellant.

THAT in Michaelmas Term, 1724, the Appellant exhibited a Cross Bill against the late Lord Viscount Harcourt, the Respondents the Lady Catherine Jones, Sir Thomas Hewett, and Dame Frances his Wife, the Respondents Peter Hawker, Thomas-William Burman, and Fiennes Twisleton (inter alia) to have a Performance of the faid Articles, and a Conveyance of the faid Cecill Fiennes's Moiety of the faid Premises, to which Bill the Respondents the Lady Catherine Jones, Sir Thomas Hewett, and Frances his Wife, Peter Hawker, Thomas-William Burman, and Fiennes Twisleton, put in their several Answers before the End of June following.

1699.

1721.

the Obje

THAT the faid Original Cause (after the Decease of the said Sir Thomas Hewett) being ready for Hear ing, the same came on to be heard before the Lord Chancellor, the 26th Day of June last past; and the Appellant, and the faid Tenants of the Premises, not appearing, tho' they were served with Process to hear Judgment, it was i(inter alia) ordered and decreed, as between the Respondents the Lady Catherine Jones, Dame Frances Hewett, Peter Hawker, and the Appellant, and the Respondent Burman, That a Partition should be made of the Lands into Moieties, and that a Commission should issue for that Purpose; one Moiety whereof was to be allowed to the Appellant, and the other Moiety to be subdivided into four Parts, of which one fourth Part was to be allotted to the Respondent the Lady Catherine Jones, and her Heirs; one other fourth Part to the Respondent the Lady Hervett, and her Heirs; another fourth Part to the Respondent Peter Hawker, and his Heirs; and the other fourth Part to the Respondent Thomas-William Burman, and his Heirs, subject to the Annuity of Twenty Pounds per Annum, to the Respondent Fiennes Twisleton for Life; and Twenty Pounds per Annum for a perpetual Charity, mentioned in the Will of the faid Frances Ellise; and mutual Conveyance were thereby directed to be made by the Parties, for making the Partition effectual, and the faid Tenants were to attorn, and pay their Rents according to fuch Partition; and as to the Costs of the said Partition into Moieties, the Appellant was to pay one Moiety, and the faid Respondents the other Moiety thereof; and as to the Subdivision of a Moiety into fourths, the Costs thereof were to be equally paid by the said Respondents. And it was further decreed, that an Account should be taken by a Master from the Death of the said Cecill Fiennes (which was d July, 171 -.) of the Rents and Profits of the Moiety, to which the Plaintiffs in the faid Caufe, and the faid Burman were intitled; and that the Appellant should account for such Part thereof as he had received; and the faid Tenants Izod, Clarke and William White, were to account for what was due from them; and the Receiver appointed by the Court was to account for what was in his Hands; and the Appellant was to pay the Respondents the Lady Catherine Jones, Dame Frances Hewett and Peter Hawker, their Costs, so far as he had contested their Right and Title to the said Moiety, which Decree was to be binding to the Appellant and the faid Tenants, unless good Cause was shewn to the contrary.

THAT the faid Appellant, and the faid Tenants, who had made Default at the faid Hearing of the faid Original Cause, applied by Petition, and obtained an Order that the said Original Cause should be set down to be again heard, and the Original Cause, and the said Cross Cause, came on to be heard together before the Lord Chancellor the 16th Day of November last past; when it was ordered, that the Order made upon the Hearing of the faid Original Cause should be varied, by striking out the Direction for the Appellant's paying the said Plaintiss in the Original Cause their Costs, so far as he had contested the said Plaintiss Right and Title to a Moiety of the faid Premises. And also a Lirection therein as to the Payment of the Costs to the Respondent Fiennes Twisleton; and likewise by incerting in that Part of the Order that directs an Account to be taken of the Rents and Profits of the faid Moiety, the following Directions, that all just Allowances should be made upon the faid Account: But the Variation, as to Costs, was not to extend to the Costs of the Partition of the said Premises into Moieties; and the said Order, made upon Hearing the faid Original Cause, with such Variation, was thereby decreed to be absolute; and that

the faid Cross-bill, brought by the Appellant, should be dismissed out of the said Court, but without Costs. From this Decree his Lordship hath appealed, and infifts that the same ought to be reversed; for that by the Will of the said Frances Ellise, the said Cecill Fiennes her Daughter was Tenant in Tail of a Moiety of the Premises, or of the Trust thereof; and by the Fine and Recovery in 1093, became seized in 1 ee, or of fuch an Estate, or Interest, as she had Power to dispose, and did actually bind by her said Articles with the Appellant; or at least, that the said Cecill Fiennes, by the Death of the said Francis Twisleton, without Issue, was seized in Fee of his fourth Part, and that the same was bound and affected by the said Articles.

1. THE Respondents humbly insist, that the said Decree is just; for that it appears clearly by the said Will, the Objecti- to have been the Intention of the Testatrix, that the legal Estate, during the Life of the said Cecill Fiennes, should remain in the Devisees in Trust, to enable them to pay the Annuities and Legacies thereby given, and to apply the Surplus Profits during her Life for her proper Use, which was the more reasonable, in regard she was then under Coverture. It likewise appears, to have been the Intent of the Testatrix, that after the Death of the faid Cecill Fiennes, a legal Estate-Tail, should vest in the Heirs of her Body as Purchasers, and not by Descent. And it is apprehended, that the Construction, for which the Appellant contends, is directly contrary to the declared Intent of the Testatrix, by changing the equitable Interest of the faid Cecill Fiennes in the Surplus Profits for her Life only, into an Estate-Tail. That it is not supported by any Rule of Law, there being no Instance, where a legal Limitation of an Estate to the Heirs of the Body of any Person, hath been united to a prior, equitable Limitation of the Surplus Profits of such Estate to the same Person for Life, so as to make such Person Tenant in Tail by Construction of Law; and that fuch Construction is highly unreasonable, as it would put it in the Power of the said Cecill Fiennes, to defeat her own Issue, if she had had any, and all the subsequent Limitations in the Will.

II. THAT the faid Cecill Fiennes could not bind the Premises by the said Articles, longer than during her own Life, as to the Three Fourths decreed to the Respondents, the Lady Catherine Jones, Dame Frances Hewet, and Peter Hawker; and if the could (which the Respondents in no wise admit) yet with regard to the faid Three Fourths, and likewise with regard to the remaining One Fourth decreed to the Respondent Thomas-William Burman, the Respondents humbly insit, that the same, or either of them, are not bound by the said Articles, upon which alone the Appellant's Title depends, for the following

EASO

I. FOR that it appears, by the faid Articles, That the Occasion of the Appellant's, and the said Cecill Fiennes's entring into the same, was to put an End to the Disputes that had arisen between them, touching the real and personal Estate of the Appellant's Brother, the said William Fiennes; and it doth not appear, that there was any Dispute touching the Moiety of the Premises now in question, which was no Part of the Estate of the said William Fiennes.

II. If the faid Moiety was intended to be comprifed under any general Words in the faid Articles; yet fuch Articles have been long fince waved, and departed from; no Demand having been made by the Appellant, upon the Foot of the said Articles, from the 22d of March, 1699, the Time they bear Date, to the Death of the faid Cecill Fiennes, which happened the 23d of July, 1715; during all which Time the laid Cecill Fiennes quietly enjoy'd the said Moiety, subject to the Charges thereon; nor was any Demand made by his Lordship upon the Respondents under the said Articles, till his Lordship mentioned them in

Objection.

his Answer to the Original Bill, Twenty-four Years after the Date thereof: And tho' in 1700, Conveyances were made by his Lordship and the said Cecill Fiennes, of other Estates; yet such Conveyances neither recite the said Articles, nor import to have been made in pursuance of them; nor are the Limitations or Provisions therein agreeable to the Tenor of the Articles: From whence it is evident, that they were

waved, and that the Parties came to a new Agreement.

III. IT would be a dangerous Precedent, if a Court of Equity, at so great a Distance of Time, after the Death of one of the Contracting Parties, who, if living, might be able to prove an express Waver of the Articles; and after a great Alteration in the Circumstances of Persons and Things, should decree a Specifick Execution of them: And it would be particularly unreasonable in the present Case, in regard that Cecill Fiennes being dead, cannot now execute the Power given her by the Articles, of disposing of the Sum of One thousand Pounds; which, in consequence, will give the Appellant One thousand Pounds more than was intended for him by the Articles.

FOR which, among other Reasons, these Respondents, the Lady Catherine Jones, Dame Frances Hewett, Peter Hawker, and Thomas-William Burman, humbly insist, That the said Decree is just, and according to the Rules of Equity; and hope, that the same will be affirmed by your Lordships, and the Appeal dismised with Costs.

C. TALBOT.
N. FAZAKERLEY.

on Guiday - the 28 Day of march 1729.

The Respondents CASE.

Lawrence, Viscount Say Appellant.

and Seal,

Ady Catherine Jones, Lady Hewett, Peter Hawker, Respondents.

Burman;

Respondents.

